



## RESPONSE

Application #	10/622,803
Confirmation #	3480
Filing Date	July 21, 2003
First Inventor	BERTAGNOLI
Art Unit	3732
Examiner	Reimers, Annette R.
Docket #	P07878US00/MP

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

This is in response to the Restriction Requirement and Requirement To Elect Species mailed June 24, 2005.

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant elects the claims of Group I, claims 1-14 and 19-21 for examination herein.

In regard to the Election Of Species for the frame, Applicant elects the species of Figure 2. However, it will be noted that the species of Figure 2 is shown also in Figures 1, 2-4, and 6-8. Also, Figures 11 and 12 are multiples of what is shown in Figure 2 and thus they are believed not to be separate species. The Examiner's reference to Figure 10 is not understood since Figure 10 simply shows a different retaining structure, not a different frame.

Because of the confusion with respect to the identification of Figure 10, identification of the claims readable on the elected species is unclear. However, Applicant will note that with respect to Figure 2, claims 1-3, 5-14, and 19-21 are readable thereon; but it will be further noted that claim 4 is directed to the structure of Figure 10 and claims 13 and 14 are directed to Figures 11 and 12.



With regard to the retaining structure, Applicant elects the retaining structure shown in Figure 1 which is also shown throughout all figures of the application except for Figure 10. Claims 1-3, 5-14, and 19-21 are readable on this elected subspecies.

In view of the above, further and favorable action on all of claims 1-14 and 19-21 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STITES & HARBISON PLLC



Marvin Petry  
Registration No. 22752

1199 North Fairfax Street, Suite 900  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
(703) 739-4900

August 18, 2005